

UC San Diego Grad Slam 2026: Scorecard

Contestant’s Name:

Topic:

Judge’s #: \_\_\_\_\_

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

Rank in Round

(no ties except at 4<sup>th</sup> place—circle *one*)

1<sup>st</sup>

2<sup>nd</sup>

3<sup>rd</sup>

4<sup>th</sup>

<b><u>Clarity:</u></b> Did the speaker provide adequate background knowledge to make the talk and the importance of the project understandable?	<u>Excellent</u> 5	4	<u>Good</u> 3	2	<u>Poor</u> 1	<b><u>SCORE:</u></b>  /5
<b><u>Organization:</u></b> Did the presentation follow a clear and logical sequence?	5	4	3	2	1	/5
<b><u>Delivery:</u></b> E.g., pace, enthusiasm, confidence, body language, eye contact, and vocal range.	5	4	3	2	1	/5
<b><u>Appropriateness:</u></b> Was the topic and its significance communicated in language appropriate to an intelligent, but non-specialist audience? (For example, did the speaker avoid or explain discipline-specific jargon?)	5	4	3	2	1	/5
<b><u>Intellectual Significance:</u></b> Did the speaker explain why her/his project matters (for example, its significance to the academic discipline)?	5	4	3	2	1	/5
<b><u>Engagement:</u></b> To what extent did the talk speak to your intellectual curiosity? Did it make you want to learn more about the topic?	5	4	3	2	1	/5
<b>Comments for the presenter (please offer a brief rationale for your <i>ranking</i> of this speaker):</b>						<b><u>TOTAL SCORE:</u></b>  